



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/766,784	01/22/2001	Marc Morin	CISCP239	8354
22434 75	590 06/15/2005		EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP P.O. BOX 70250			KLIMACH, PAULA W	
	CA 94612-0250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2135	
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
1	09/766,784	MORIN, MARC				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paula W. Klimach	2135				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum is  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a numeration.  (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ally will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>22 February 2005</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> — · · ·	<del>/</del>					
closed in accordance with the prac	tice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restr	are withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	jection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) I he oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action of form P10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
3. Copies of the certified copies	y documents have been received. y documents have been received in s of the priority documents have bee ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 (Paper No(s)/Mail Date		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office			·v			

Art Unit: 2135

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/22/05 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lenihan et al. (6169843) in view of Schneier.

In reference to claims 1, 5, 9, 13, and 15, Lenihan et al. (6169843) discloses the modification of the PCR (fig. 3B). So that each of the six bytes has the least significant bit equal to zero (column 7 lines 43-65). Conditional access messages are used for the decryption and therefore authentication of the receiving system.

However Lenihan does not disclose the modification of the PCR by logically anding off a portion of the lower bits, and therefore inserting the digital signature.

Schneier discloses the association of the digital signature with a timestamp (page 38).

The PCR is timing information used in the transmission of digital multimedia information.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to insert the timestamp associated digital signature of Schneier in the modification of Lenihan. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because relating the timestamp and the digital signature discourages fraudulent activity of the reuse of digitally signed information.

In reference to claims 2, 6, 10, and 14, the wherein the digital signature may span a plurality of PCR fields in a plurality of packets. The number of packets used to store the digital signature is dependent on the size of the digital signature because the digital signature depends on the hash of the document (Schneier page 38 paragraph 6).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary 3skill in the art to insert the timestamp associated digital signature of Schneier in the modification of Lenihan. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because relating the timestamp and the digital signature discourages fraudulent activity of the reuse of digitally signed information.

In reference to claims 3, 7, and 11, wherein the digital signature is encrypted to produce an encrypted signature (Schneier page 37).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to encrypt the digital signature as in Schneier. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because by decrypting the signature with the public key the information is authenticated.

In reference to claims 4, 8, and 12, wherein the encrypted signature is scrambled to provide for error correction. It is common practice to add error correction to packets that are transported over the network (Schneier page 179 paragraph 1).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add a verification block as in Schneier in the system of Lenihan. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because a receiver can detect if a particular key he has received is correct.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paula W. Klimach whose telephone number is (571) 272-3854. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Thr 9:30 a.m to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/766,784

Art Unit: 2135

Page 5

primary Examin

PWK Monday, June 13, 2005